

# System Configuration and LCFG

Paul Anderson <<u>dcspaul@inf.ed.ac.uk</u>>

http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/dcspaul

LCFG Tutorial, June 2007



## **System Configuration**

- Starting with:
  - several hundred new machines with empty disks
  - a repository of all the necessary software packages
  - a specification of the required service
- Load the software and configure the machines to provide the service
- This involves many internal services:
  - DNS, LDAP, DHCP, NFS, NIS, SMTP, Web ...
  - the relationships are most important
- Maintain the specification when things change
  - either the requirements, or the system (failures)



### **Complicating factors**

- Managing relationships clients & servers
- Managing change failures & changing requirements
- Managing diversity servers to laptops
- Devolved management aspects
- Distributed systems communication failures & latency
- Usability multiple levels of experience
- Autonomics unattended reconfiguration

#### An example... Create a new web server

- Infrastructure support
  - create a DNS entry
  - create a DHCP entry
  - create holes in the firewall
  - create and sign SSL certificate
  - add to backup system
- Configure the machine
  - Configure disks, load the software etc ..
  - Configure dns, networking, timeservice, apache etc ...

#### **Some difficulties**

- The relationships have to be maintained manually
  - the machine running the web server & the firewall
- How do we know the configuration is correct?
  - there is no explicit representation
- How do we configure a replacement?
  - some "aspects" of a replacement machine may be different (eg. disk layout, network devices)
  - this makes simple restore from backups insufficient
  - we may have to update all the associated services
  - different people may be responsible for different services

#### The "state of the art"

- Most automation of system configuration grows from the "bottom up"
  - low-level manual procedures are automated
  - higher-level decision making is still manual
- Most current tools are low-level tools which are designed to interface with humans
  - this makes it harder to incorporate them in a complete "stack"
  - no representation of "relationships", for example
- Administrators must deal with low-level issues
  - like assembly-code vs high-level languages

### Higher-level automation Why?

- Efficiency obviously
- Manage the complexity
- Have confidence in the correctness
  - What happens if one machine in 1000 node cluster has the wrong version of a maths library?
- Security
  - Can we be confident there are no insecure dependencies?
- Reliability autonomic reconfiguration

#### The way forward

- Think about the whole "stack"
  - even if you can only automate the bottom layers
- Use tools to automate the bottom layers
  - but make sure that these tools present a clean interface, so that there is potential for higher-level automation
- Think in terms of "declarative specifications"
  - ie. "what we want to be true"
  - let the tool compute the "how to"
  - avoid undisciplined "scripting"
- Beware of marketing think about these issues!

# LCFG

- Some properties
  - a clean interface to the lower layers
  - modular components for different subsystems
  - support for fully "prescriptive" configuration (no manually configuration or installation)
  - support for automatic maintenance of relationships
  - support for "aspects" managed by different people

